



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/546,132	12/12/2005	Rulin Fan	EISN-009USRCE	9915
78844	7590	09/01/2009	EXAMINER	
Lahive & Cockfield, LLP/EISAI			OLSON, ERIC	
Floor 30, Suite 3000				
One Post Office Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boston, MA 02109			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/546,132	FAN, RULIN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	ERIC S. OLSON	1623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ERIC S. OLSON. (3) Megan Richmond.  
 (2) Shaojia Jiang. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 27 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: US6184366.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented arguments regarding the non-obviousness of changing the order of synthetic steps in the prior art process of US6184366, and the unexpected results produced for a specific order of steps. Applicant's arguments will be taken into consideration in the next office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eric S Olson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623	
---	--